



**Manchester
Metropolitan
University**

Keegan, B J, Rowley, J and Tonge, J (2017) Marketing Agency-Client Relationships: Towards A Research Agenda. *European Journal of Marketing*, 51 (7/8). pp. 1197-1223. ISSN 0309-0566

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/617743/>

Version: Accepted Version

Publisher: Emerald Group Publishing Ltd.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJM-10-2015-0712>

Please cite the published version

<https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk>



European Journal
of Marketing

Marketing agency-client relationships: towards a research agenda.

Journal:	<i>European Journal of Marketing</i>
Manuscript ID	EJM-10-2015-0712.R3
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Advertising Agencies, Relationship marketing, Marketing management, Co-operation

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Marketing agency-client relationships: towards a research agenda.
Abstract

Purpose - Since agencies play a pivotal role in operationalising marketing strategy, this relationship is central to marketing theory, management and practice. This article presents the first systematic review of the literature relating to the relationships between organisations and their marketing agencies, the agency-client relationship, and presents a concept matrix that identifies the key areas of investigation, and topics where further research would be beneficial.

Design/methodology/approach - A systematic review of the literature was performed using key databases and search terms, and filtering on the basis of criteria relating, for example, to relevance and format, to create a core set of refereed articles on the agency-client relationship in the marketing and advertising domains. Bibliographic and thematic analysis was used to profile the literature in the dataset, and to draw out key themes.

Findings: The article provides an analysis of the extant knowledge base, including key themes, journals, and research methods. The following themes emerged from the literature, and are used to elaborate further on the existing body of knowledge: conflict, client account management, contracts and agency theory, cultural and international perspectives and co-creation. An agenda for future research is proposed that advocates a focus on theoretical foundations, research strategies, and research topics and themes.

Originality/value: This is the first systematic review of the literature on agency-client relationships, which is scattered across disciplines and informed by several theoretical perspectives. Given the increasing complexity of agency-client relationships in the digital age, and increasing need to understand ‘marketing-as-practice’, the coherent overview offered by this article is of particular value for guiding future research.

Keywords

Agency-client relationship, Agency Theory, Relationship management, Marketing management, Co-creation, Marketing-as-practice.

Introduction

Advertising expenditures are seeing year on year increases, with the US advertising industry estimated to have spent up to \$170 billion this year, whilst advertisers in the UK spent £17 billion in 2015 (WARC, 2015). Agencies have a significant role in marketing strategy development such that any attempt to understand the processes associated with 'how marketing happens' must take into account the contributions of marketing agencies. Furthermore, the importance of the agency-client relationship (ACR) has long been recognised (Pollay and Swinth, 1969; Wackman, Salmon and Salmon, 1986). An ACR has both contractual and relational aspects and involves two parties working together to achieve a successful creative campaign outcome. The power balance and the understanding of mutual roles is pivotal in determining whether the relationship is a partnership, or a battleground (Beard, 1996a; Zolkiewski, Burton and Stratoudaki, 2008). In addition, both the agency and their client organisations are exposed to the consequences of contract termination and agency switching (Arul, 2002; Henke, 1995). Furthermore, the advent of digital and social media marketing has seen increases in the complexity of agency-client relationships, with many organisations using several different advertising and marketing agencies (Komulainen, Mainela and Tahtinen, 2016).

This article presents the findings from a systematic review of the research literature on the ACR which has been published over the past forty years. Since there is no prior literature review on this topic, and the knowledge base is informed by a wide range of disciplinary perspectives and theoretical paradigms, there is a need to look back at the major themes that have emerged, as a basis for proposing a future research agenda and informing practice. By so doing, this article seeks to advance understanding and responds to calls for a greater focus on marketing-as-practice (Järventie-Thesleff, Moisander and Laine, 2011; Skålén and Hackley, 2011). Central to the marketing-as-practice perspective is a focus on marketing actors and their work is viewed as an observable social practice (Svensson, 2007; Tadajewski, 2010).

In this article, the term agency-client relationship refers to the relationship between an organization and its marketing agencies. Traditionally, the agencies involved in these relationships were advertising agencies but increasingly organisations are also contracting with specialist digital marketing agencies (Komulainen, Mainela and Tähtinen, 2013), which often lead to networks of relationships with multiple actors (Rogan, 2014).

The aim of this article is to undertake an exploration of prior research on ACR, with a view to:

- profiling the literature, in terms of journals, dates time and methodologies
- developing a concept matrix of the key themes
- critically evaluating extant research within each of these key themes
- proposing an agenda for future research.

Method

To explore the extent of previous theory and research on the ACR, a systematic review of the literature was performed across a variety of databases. Such reviews differ from the more conventional, narrative literature reviews in that they adopt a replicable, scientific and transparent process (Tranfield, Denyer, and Smart, 2003), in contrast to narrative reviews that typically gather together articles through assorted routes over a period of time. The purpose of systematic reviews of the literature is to identify key contributions in a field, and to identify patterns in the knowledge base, or as a way of analysing the past to prepare for the future (Webster and Watson, 2002). In order to do this, they are conducted using a specified search strategy based on appropriate search terms, in appropriate databases, at one or more given points in time. Typically, this initial search generates an article set that needs to be refined, before the remaining articles are used as a basis for developing a profile and concept matrix of the literature. In narrative reviews, authors make judgments on the most appropriate articles to cite for their purpose; this type of selection is not part of the process for a systematic review of the literature. Since early indications were that literature on ACR was extensive, and scattered in terms of publication date, discipline, and theoretical lens, a systematic literature review was deemed appropriate for examining the literature relating to the ACR.

Search Strategy

Initially, searches were performed using Google Scholar (GS). These strings consisted of the primary keywords and phrases pertaining to the ACR, along with minor variations of these. Accordingly, multiple variations of search strings were employed. The initial search strings were:

[agency-client relationship OR client-agency relationship OR ad-client relationship OR client-ad relationship]

Consideration of the results from the first round of searches, led to the identification of additional keywords, which were used in a second round of searches. Examples include:

[agency-client relationship OR client-agency relationship] AND [marketing] OR [advertising] OR, [account management] OR [relationship management] OR [co-creation]

In order to ensure maximum identification of potentially relevant articles, Google Scholar searches were conducted on a year-by-year basis (e.g. 2003, 2004, 2005) for all years between 1968 and 2016 inclusive. Finally, searches were repeated in additional databases, including: Emerald, SCOPUS, IEEE Xplore, EBSCO Business Source Premier and ACM Digital Library. Very few additional documents were located in this final stage.

The search process generated a dataset of approximately 3000 citations. Next, the dataset was downloaded into an Excel database and sorted to facilitate the identification and elimination of duplicates. This was followed by an assessment of the suitability and relevance to the topic by mentions of ACR relevant content in the

titles, keywords and abstracts. This operation reduced the dataset to 550 titles. Next citations to articles in the following categories were removed:

- Conference papers, books, magazine articles and other non-peer reviewed documents
- Articles with one or no citations
- Articles in a foreign language
- Articles outside of the disciplines of business and management
- Articles that used the terms ‘agency’ and ‘clients’ but did not address the relationship between these two entities

Articles with one or no citations and non-peer reviewed documents (including practitioner literature) were not included in the final dataset because they were regarded as not being part of the established extant knowledge base. Exceptionally, the books by Halinen (1997) and Buttle and Michell (1996) were retained in the final dataset due to their exceptionally high citation rates. The final dataset comprised of 114 articles.

The full text of each of the articles in the final dataset was downloaded, read and coded by the authors using the following thematic coding process, in order to develop a concept matrix (Braun and Clarke, 2006):

- i) Code development: codes were developed inductively through reading all titles and abstracts in the dataset
- ii) Code definition: a working description of the code was agreed
- iii) Code allocation: full texts were read and coded
- iv) Multiple/conflicting codes: agreement was reached regarding the primary code to be allocated to each article, although a few articles are discussed under more than one theme.
- v) Checking: coding was checked for accuracy
- vi) Formal definition of code: formal definition of code group with research question and overall assumption was agreed and finalised.

Themes and codes, and the allocation of articles to themes were checked in a roundtable discussion between the authors and an independent reviewer, an academic with expertise in marketing research. The themes identified are presented in Table 1. All articles were allocated to one of the themes in the concept matrix. Articles which contributed to more than one theme were allocated primary and secondary codes, and were, as appropriate, commented on in the thematic analysis under more than one theme.

<Insert Table 1 Here >

Profile of Extant ACR Knowledge Base

Table 2 shows the journals that have published the most articles on the ACR. These journals account for the majority of the total dataset. Four of the top five journals in the list focus on advertising and communications (e.g. *Journal of Advertising*, *Journal of Advertising Research*) and several other journals in this area also feature. Other key

journals in the list are generic marketing journals, such as the *European Journal of Marketing* and the *Journal of Marketing*.

<Insert Table 2 Here >

Next, Table 3 presents an overview analysis of the sources by decade and theme. Broadly, this shows that interest in the ACR has persisted since the 1950s, but interest escalated in the 1990s and continues at a similar level into the twenty-first century. Interest in the various aspects of client account management (from the advertising agency perspective) and in conflict with the issue of ‘switching’ agencies dominates discussion throughout. From the 1990s onwards, there is an increasing interest in the cultural and international aspects of managing ACRs. Interest in the contractual perspective on the ACR saw an upsurge around the same time period. Finally, whilst the notion of co-creation is mentioned in one article in the 1980s, it is only in the last 15 years that this topic has attracted any significant attention.

<Insert Table 3 Here >

Finally, Appendix 1 presents an analysis of the theories and research strategies adopted in the sources included in the dataset. On theory, it is evident that only a few of the articles cite a specific theory. Theories that are adopted most frequently are; Agency Theory and Relationship Management Theory. On research strategies, significantly, 36% of the dataset, many of which have been published in well-regarded journals and/or have been highly cited (e.g. Bennett, 1996; Bergen Dutta and Walker, 1992; Halinen, 1997) are not informed by empirical research. Amongst the highly cited articles (i.e. those with in excess of 100 citations) three are conceptual or theoretical (Bergen *et al.*, 1992; Ojasalo, 2001; Tahitnen and Halinen, 2002), four are quantitative (Doyle *et al.*, 1980; Labahn and West, 1997; Moon and Franke, 2000; Wackman *et al.*, 1986) and three are qualitative (Halinen, 1997; Haytko, 2004). Within the whole dataset, most studies have used surveys (44% of the total dataset), or interviews (24%). A small number of studies examined the ACR using mixed methods within a case study context examining both sides of the agency-client dyad (Armstrong 1996; Arul, 2010; Beverland, Farrelly and Woodhatch, 2007; Halinen, 1997; Lian and Laing, 2007; Murphy and Maynard, 2009). Tathinen and Halinen (2002) also comment on the dominance of survey-based research in this field. Further analysis of research methods by research theme shows that questionnaire-based surveys are the dominant method for all themes except Contracts and Agency Theory, which was largely conceptual and Co-creation where four of the studies are interviews.

The final column in Appendix 1 identifies the populations that have acted as informants in the empirical studies in the dataset. Most empirical studies have focussed on the agency perspective (42 articles), with only 19 articles examining the client perspective and 17 articles seeking to gather insights from both sides of the

agency client dyad. Tathinen and Halinen (2002) also suggest the need for further research on the client perspective.

Thematic Analysis of Extant Knowledge Base

This section provides further details of the extant knowledge base, discussing each of the themes in the concept matrix and identified in Table 1 in turn. It thereby seeks to provide deeper insights into the existing knowledge base and provide a basis for the identification of key areas for further research. The focus is on insights from empirical studies, but the contributions from conceptual articles that variously propose theoretical foundations for understanding the ACR, or reflect on and make recommendation with respect to best practice are also acknowledged.

Conflict

The level of research on conflict and its potential outcomes such as relationship termination (Davies and Prince, 2011; Ghosh and Taylor, 1999), and related activities such as defecting (Durden, Orsman and Michell, 1997; Vafeas and Hilton 2002), firing (Kulkarni, Vora and Brown 2003) and termination (Yuksel and Sutton-Brady 2011), suggests that ACRs are regarded as problematic. Indeed, some of the earliest empirical research on the ACR (Murray, 1971; Pollay and Swinth, 1969) centres on conflict.

Research on conflict focuses on one or both of the factors that provoke conflict, and the strategies for managing conflict. In most studies, the focus is on the bi-lateral relationship between the agency and their clients, although Grant, McLeod and Shaw (2012) explored inter-agency conflict and its effects in the context of large firms employing multiple advertising agencies. The factors driving conflict, and ultimately switching, are summarised in Table 4, together with some examples of the specific topics covered by articles in these areas. One of the most researched factors was agency performance, including lack of professionalism and creativity. Agency and client policies regarding advertising campaigns were also often the source of conflict, especially when one party or the other makes changes to their policies. The importance of clarity and effective communication underlies a number of the other potential sources of conflict. For example, unclear decisions-making structures and unclear operating procedures, together with ambiguity in agency and client roles were identified as sources of conflict. Personnel changes could also affect communication and relationships.

<Insert Table 4 Here >

Other researchers have proposed approaches for managing conflict, constructively. Table 5 identifies five action areas towards achieving and maintaining a harmonious ACR. The first three groups of actions, identification of conflict, communication and role clarity require input from both actors, whilst the final two groups focus on actions

specific to either the agency or the client. Amongst these areas, several researchers suggest that communication is important.

<Insert Table 5 Here >

Client account management

Counter-balancing the interest in conflict, there is a significant body of literature on relationship building and management. Much of this literature is informed by relationship management theory and proposes that managing relationships with clients or customers and seeking to optimize their satisfaction enhances customer retention and longevity (e.g., Davies and Prince, 2011, Palihawadana and Barnes, 2005). Interest in the features of a mutually beneficial relationship within the context of client account management is well established (Beverland *et al*, 2007; Waller, 2004). A number of authors seek to offer advice to practitioners (Beltramini and Pitta 1991; Halinen, 1997; Harvey and Rupert, 1988; LaBahn and Kohli 1997). Recurrent themes include: relationship lifecycle stages, the factors that affect the development and maintenance of the ACR, and the types of relationships that support the ACR.

Wackman *et al.* (1986) is a seminal and much cited work. Building on Doyle *et al.* (1980), they propose a four-stage ACR lifecycle: pre-relationship, development, maintenance, and termination. More recently, Waller's (2004) review of the ACR literature distills a similar, but distinct, three-stage lifecycle: selection, development/maintenance, and review/termination. In a subtle variation, Fam and Waller (2008) offer a four-stage lifecycle: inception, development, maintenance, and dissolution. The Key Account Management Model proposed by Ojasalo (2001) embeds the following relationship stages, identifying key accounts, analysing key accounts, selecting suitable account-specific relationship strategies, and continuous development of operational-level capabilities to enhance relationships.

In addition, other studies identify personal factors that contribute to relationship building, such as quality of personnel, mutual agreement and understanding, reputation for integrity and interpersonal compatibility (Wackman *et al.*, 1986; Zolkiewski *et al.*, 2008). More specifically, Wackman *et al.* (1986) empirically tested 18 'predictors' for dissatisfaction and found the five most highly rated factors were: agency leadership, relationships with creative, efficient meetings, responsibility assignment and approval mechanisms. LaBahn and Kohli (1997) propose a conceptual model of the ACR, with three key components: agency and client behaviours (including agency accessibility, agency assertiveness, client accessibility, and client indecisiveness), agency performance (including productive interaction conflict, and creative quality implementation), and client disposition (including client trust and client commitment). Lichtenthal and Shani (2000) use organisational buying behaviour theory to suggest that the factors that affect the development and

1
2
3 maintenance of an ACR can be clustered into four groups: psychological,
4 organisational goals, personnel and environmental.
5

6 A key aspect of relationship management relates to the development and maintenance
7 of trust (Davies and Prince 2005; Fam and Waller, 2008; Wackman *et al.*, 1986).
8 Various aspects of trust in relationship management have been explored, such as how
9 to earn trust (Sekeley and Blakney, 1996), outcomes of a lack of trust (Michell and
10 Sanders, 1995) and trustworthiness as a construct (Haytko, 2004). Pollay & Swinth
11 (1969) highlight the negative effect of dishonesty on client trust, whilst Davies and
12 Prince (2005) discuss the various forms of trustworthiness, such as value-based, and
13 cognition-based).
14
15

16
17 Other studies have discussed the types of relationships that support the ACR. For
18 example, Haytko (2004) proposed a categorisation of key relationships into firm-to-
19 firm (vendor, partner, surrogate) and interpersonal (strictly business, business friends,
20 personal), whilst Lian and Laing (2007) focus on the role of the personal relationships
21 on agency selection and in relationship development and maintenance.
22
23

24 At the core of concern about relationships is the aspiration to achieve longevity of the
25 ACR. Michell and Sanders (1995) proposed a model of inter-organisational loyalty,
26 with the following seven factors: a stable business environment, large organizational
27 structures, well-defined general policies toward suppliers, positive attitudes toward
28 suppliers, effective processes involving suppliers, compatible interpersonal
29 characteristics and account performance. Palihawadana and Barnes (2005), taking the
30 agency perspective, suggest that the level of attention to the client from the
31 advertising agency was vital in ensuring the longevity in the ACR. Further, Davies
32 and Palihawadana (2006) argue for the role of service quality and client care in
33 cultivating the longevity of ACR.
34
35
36

37
38 The knowledge base also includes contributions on a diverse collection of other
39 aspects of the ACR. For example, Beltramini and Pitta (1991) focus on the role of
40 communications strategies between agencies and their clients, whilst Na, Marshall
41 and Woodside (2009) focus on the agency-client decision-making process. Sekeley
42 and Blakney (1996) studied ACRs involving SME clients and provided evidence to
43 substantiate Michell's (1998) assertion that SME relationships are more volatile than
44 those with larger clients, partly due to the agency's lack of understanding of the
45 client's business.
46
47
48

49 Finally, several articles comment on the importance of co-operation and thus form a
50 precursor to more recent discussions of co-creation. Michell (1988) comments on the
51 value of a co-operative decision making process in the development of creative
52 campaigns and Halinen (1997) discusses the co-production of creative ideas. Beard
53 (1996a) suggests that the adoption of IMC expands the demands on the ACR such
54 that the client needs to engage more fully with agency' working procedures. Brennan
55 (2001) explores knowledge transfer within an interactive ACR. Lastly, in Zolkiewski
56
57
58
59
60

et al.'s (2008) study of the power balance between clients and their agencies, participants suggested that power was an overtly negative concept and they preferred the notion of co-operation.

Contracts and Agency Theory

Agency Theory is influential in early considerations of the ACR (Bergen *et al.*, 1992; Gould, Grein and Lerman, 1999). Rooted in economics (Wilson, 1968), it has expanded into many other disciplines, including advertising and marketing (Waller, 2004). According to Eisenhardt (1989) negotiation of mutual responsibilities between the two parties are essential to a fruitful co-creative relationship. Bergen *et al.* (1992) suggest that Agency Theory is a suitable lens for examining a range of facets in contractual agency relationships, namely, goal formation, risk, conflict and performance evaluation. Following this lead, Ellis and Johnson (1993) used Agency Theory to examine the decision-making process and the associated contractual risks for the ACR, whilst Gould *et al.* (1999) used Agency Theory to examine the degree of integration between agency and client within an IMC scenario. Agency Theory has also been used as a basis for consideration of compensation, more specifically, campaign performance-based compensation (Spake, D'Souza, Crutchfield and Morgan, 1999), the contractual dynamics of agency compensation (Davies and Prince, 2005; Zhao, 2005) and agency compensation, client evaluation and switching costs (Davies and Prince, 2011).

In addition to studies that specifically use Agency Theory, there is also a body of work on other contractual aspects of the ACR, including selecting and contracting agencies, control and evaluation, and contract dissolution. An early contribution is Harvey and Rupert's (1988) advisory piece on the selection of agencies; their Agency Selection Process Model incorporates the following five stages: pre-planning, agency visitation, corporate visitation, agency project presentation, selection decision, and control process. Wackman *et al.* (1986) identified the following as central to client decision-making: work product, patterns, and organisational factors; these are reiterated in more recent works (Waller 2001; Yuksel and Sutton-Brady, 2011). More recently, Faisal and Khan's (2008) work examines the decision making process associated with agency selection and proposes and empirically tests a framework of the selection process; the top four components in this framework are the agency's campaign planning, creative strategy, media planning and advertising effectiveness.

Other studies examine other aspects of the ACR process. Bennett (1996) and Arul (2010) investigate relationship dissolution, whilst Farrelly and Quester (2003), in a case study, revisit the principle/agent dyad to propose a model for risk analysis in the sponsorship in a large sporting brand. Finally, Katarantinou and Hogg (2009) consider maintaining relationships, proposing two categories of clients, relationship seekers and relationship switchers, each of which requires a different approach.

Cultural and international perspectives

Early ACR literature was nation specific and typically restricted to the US and the UK (Michell, 1987). With stronger globalisation of markets, ACR research from 1989 onwards takes on a more international perspective with a range of studies located in different countries (Delener, 2008). On one hand, given the cultural dimensions of business relationships, it is reasonable to expect that the nature and management of the ACR may vary between countries although globalisation of advertising and the presence of large international agencies, has the potential to erode cultural differences. Various authors have suggested that there is a need for more research in this area (Fam and Waller, 2008; Moon and Franke, 2000). Articles in this category offer some insights into this tension, broadly grouped into those studying the relationship in a single country and comparative studies that extend to more than one country.

Single country studies can be grouped into those in Europe and those in Asia. Verbeke (1989) is an early study of the ACR in the Netherlands. Extending Wackman *et al.* (1986), they found that US agencies regarded personal relationships as much more important than did Dutch agencies. Yet, Kaynak, Kucukemiroglu and Odabasi (1994) in their study on Turkish advertising agencies, argue for the centrality of personal relationships to the ACR. Cardoso (2007) investigated the campaign planning process in Portugal, distilling it into five themes: client research pre-brief, client brief, agency research, consultation and the creative brief. Finally, Zolkiewski *et al.* (2008) examined the inter-personal and inter-organisational power balances between agencies and their clients within the context of the Greek Advertising industry and discovered various endemic reasons for conflict.

There has been some interest in the ACR in China and Korea. Prendergast and Shi (1999) examined the role of the client in the ACR within 200 Chinese agencies. They highlight the impact of a rapidly expanding economy and the relative immaturity of ACRs in China's post-communist era. In their 1999 study they found Chinese clients to be heavily involved in creative decisions, suggesting co-creative campaign planning, but their later studies also revealed expectations that creative decisions should be made by experts (Prendergast and Shi, 2001; Prendergast, Shi and West, 2001) and noted fundamental similarities between the advertising industries in China and the US. Oh and Kim (2002) examined the balance of power between clients and agencies in the South Korean advertising industry and found a relationship between agency size and the level of commitment and communication in the ACR.

Fam and Waller's (1999) study looked at the selection policies of advertising agencies in New Zealand with large global brands. In a later study, Fam and Waller (2008), reveal changes in the factors that determine the success of the ACR have changed since their earlier study, with trust, honesty and commitment becoming more important for account managers in securing client accounts, echoing the earlier work on trust; they propose the utilization of these in the promotion of an agency. They also

suggest that agencies need to determine early on in the ACR, the factors which clients regard as most important in a partnership.

All of the comparative studies involve the US as a benchmark. Davies and Prince (1999) examined the difference in longevity of agency accounts between the US and UK, whilst also suggesting that agency size and age have a significant positive impact on longevity of the ACR. They also identify the tactics used in retaining clients and their differences between the UK and US. Moon and Franke (2000) compare Korean and the U.S. executives' approaches to ethical decision making, such as taking a gift to curry favour with clients. In addition, the Korean advertising industry displays a high degree of collectivism, in contrast to the centrality of personal favours for clients in the U.S advertising industry. Waller, Shao and Bao's (2010) comparison of practices regarding client involvement showed that in both countries, the main areas in which clients offered input were copywriting, creativity and design services; they did not engage in the analysis of target markets.

Co-creation

Early contributions highlighted the importance of co-operation and co-production in planning marketing campaigns for an effective ACR (Beard, 1996a; Brennan, 2001; Davies, 2009; Halinen, 1997; Michell, 1988). Typically, such contributions were founded on an acknowledgement of the benefits of co-operation between the agency as a professional service, which needed to understand their client in order to be able to deliver good service and thereby to maintain the business relationship (Durkin and Lawlor, 2001). In particular, creativity is highly prized by clients both in the early and later stages of the ACR (Sasser, Koslow and Kilgour, 2011; 2012). As the working relationship develops, successful creative work is further enhanced facilitated through cooperation between agencies and their clients (Duhan and Sandvik, 2009).

More recently, fuelled by the confluence of service dominant logic theory, with its pivotal notion of the co-creation of value (Vargo and Lusch, 2008) there has been increasing interest in the notion of co-creation through the ACR. Prahalad and Ramaswamy (2004)'s initial description of co-creation is the '*joint creation of value by the company and the customer; allowing the customer to co-construct the service experience to suit their context*' (p. 8). The growing body of work into co-creation of value within a B2B relational context (e.g., Komulainen, 2014, Nenonen and Storbacka, 2010, Novani, 2012, Piller, Vossen and Ihl, 2012, Vargo and Lusch, 2011) identifies a novel theoretical perspective for research into the ACR.

A few studies offer insights into the nature of a co-creative ACR. Grant, Gilmore and Crosier (2003) suggest that collaboration in advertising planning involves early involvement with creative input, regular updates and review of copy and imagery and market research by client representatives. Sutherland, Duke and Abernethy (2004), in focusing on organisational information exchange to support the development of creative advertising campaigns, suggest that the account manager should act as gatekeeper in transferring key information to the creative team.

Various studies have examined the dynamic between conflict and co-creation. Kelly, Lawlor and O'Donohoe's (2005) ethnographic study of advertising creatives revealed embedded conflict between creatives and clients, particularly in an online marketing context, which could undermine co-creation. De Waal Malefyt and Morais (2010) also examine confrontation and resolution in advertising agencies, but advocate co-creation because it can support advertising creativity, innovation and advancement of the brands advertising message. Sasser and Koslow (2008) acknowledge that clients can have both negative and positive impacts on advertising creativity and accordingly propose a co-creation interaction model to support co-creation in marketing planning. In more recent studies, Sasser, Koslow and Kilgour (2011; 2012) conclude that impact of clients' innovativeness and willingness to explore risky concepts is pivotal to a co-creative ACR, and Gambetti *et al.* (2016) have proposed a triadic value network comprising of brands, their marketing agencies and consumers.

Agenda for Future Research

The ACR is pivotal to marketing practice and therefore studies in this area have the potential to contribute to marketing-as-practice knowledge and theory. However, the extant knowledge base on the ACR is fragmented, using a range of different theoretical perspectives and investigating a range of different themes. This review has sought to address this fragmentation by drawing together a diverse range of research contribution on the ACR. This analysis suggests three key strands for a future research agenda.

Theoretical foundations

This review has identified a diverse range of theories within the ACR knowledge base. Agency Theory (e.g., Ellis and Johnson, 1993) and Relationship Management Theory (e.g., Buttle and Michell, 1996), together with trust theories (e.g., Morgan and Hunt, 1994) are most widely used. Also in evidence are Organisational Buying Behaviour Theory (e.g., Lichtenthal and Shani, 2000), Social Exchange Theory (Heo and Sutherland, 2015; Yuksel and Sutton-Brady, 2011); Practice Theory (e.g., Ardley and Quinn, 2014), Performance Theory (e.g., Davies and Prince, 2005), and Game Theory (e.g., Pincus *et al.*, 1991). All of these theories can contribute to further development of the knowledge base on the ACR, but further research would benefit from greater focus on theories that privilege the social aspects of the ACR, such as Marketing-as-Practice (e.g., Vallaster and Lindgreen, 2011), Social Exchange Theory (e.g., Cook, Cheshire, Rice and Nakagawa, 2013) and Co-Creation (e.g., Laamanen and Skalen, 2014).

Social Exchange Theory (Cook *et al.*, 2013) considers social change and stability, as a process of negotiated exchanges between parties, and therefore offers an additional standpoint from which to develop understanding of the ACR. In addition, the theoretical perspective associated with co-creation has potential for interrogating ACR's. For example, Laamanen and Skalen (2014) suggest a conceptual framework for co-creation that involves various actors, practices and outcomes and considers both collective and conflictual elements in social relations in value co-creation, whilst

Echeverri and Skalen (2011) introduce the idea of interactive value construction at a provider-customer interface and suggest that it involves both co-creation and co-destruction. On the other hand, Corvellec and Hultman (2014) provide a reminder that value is not absolute but depends on the understandings of what matters and what does not, as explored in their notion of regimes of value.

The marketing-as-practice school of thought also focuses on marketing actors, which, in turn, leads to the development of an account of marketing as a social practice, using the lens of practice social theory (Ardley and Quinn, 2014; Tadajewski, 2010; Vallaster and Lindgreen, 2011). The marketing-as-practice approach is distinct from the marketing management approach in that it focusses on the *processes* that lead to marketing outcomes, whereas the focus of marketing management is on strategy and outcomes. The ACR is therefore an ideal context in which to undertake theory development and testing regarding aspects of the social practice of marketing, since any research or theories relating to the ACR inevitably embrace two groups of actors, marketers working for organisations and for agencies. Equally importantly, the use of a marketing-as-practice theoretical stance to underpin further research into the ACR has the potential to subsume and integrate the earlier theoretical perspectives that have been evident in this field, specifically Agency Theory and relationship management theory. In general, a marketing-as-practice perspective can potentially be interwoven with the conceptualisation of the ACR as a co-creative relationship.

Finally, whilst prior research on business-to-business relationships is beyond the scope of this review, it may be beneficial to explore the relevance of some of the theories and models in this literature for their potential for understanding the ACR.

Research strategies and methods.

Future research should focus on theory development rather than theory testing. Thus, we argue the case for a greater number of qualitative studies, which examine a range of specific aspects of ACR processes and their impacts not only on relationship continuation, or on individual campaign outcomes, but on the creative outcomes associated with the relationship over the long-term. We suggest that case studies and ethnographies, which adopt a thematic or discourse-analytical approach towards interpreting findings would be particularly valuable, and have potential to generate further insights into relationship lifecycles.

Research themes and topics

This literature review has grouped prior research on the basis of five thematic categories. Table 1 identifies the overarching research question associated with each of these themes. Conflict and associated issues such as switching and termination have and continue to receive much attention. In addition, many of the existing studies on switching tend to focus on what went wrong, rather than how to put things right. Accordingly, we propose that future research should seek to embrace a wider range of relationship lifecycle stages, with a view to generating good practice knowledge around ACR establishment and maintenance. This research is likely to embrace

further consideration of the disposition of the client, trust, and collaborative learning processes and knowledge exchanges (Masiello, Marasco and Izzo, 2013), as well as the role of constructive conflict in driving creativity. Also, as ACR research has tended to focus on large agencies and large clients (e.g., Fam and Waller, 2004) more research is needed on the impact of the size of both organisations on the ACR.

Acknowledging marketing as a social practice implies that marketing communications and their creation in different country cultures may differ – and this has consequences for the ACR. A further literature review that embraced articles in a range of languages might offer a useful discussion of the nuances of ACRs, beyond the Western economies. There is also scope for much more research that considers all aspects of the ACR in different countries and international clients.

Future research also needs to reflect the changing nature of marketing communications, particularly with the advent of digital, social media and mobile marketing (Komulainen *et al.*, 2016). Due to the plethora of small specialist digital agencies which operate on modern campaigns, brands increasingly need to manage a network of actors in order to coordinate the delivery of their marketing messages through different channels (Kitchen, Spickett and Grimes, 2007). Hence, multi-agency relationships are becoming more important (Komulainen *et al.*, 2016); in such contexts trust is particularly challenging to establish and maintain, and hence is a fruitful area for further research, since lack of trust often contributes to dissolution (Davies and Prince, 2010).

In addition, social media marketing with consumers and departments other than the marketing department all involved in the co-creation and co-production of marketing/brand messages (Sasser and Koslow, 2008; de Waal Malefyt and Morias, 2010), potentially poses a number of challenges. Also, illegal activities such as counterfeit product websites and the proliferation of advertising fraud through botnets are putting increasing pressure on agencies for accountability in their actions (Haddadi, 2010).

Research into the ACR in such contexts therefore invites the application of theoretical perspectives associated with co-creation of value, in a business to business relational setting (Chowdhury, Gruber and Zolkiewski, 2015). Finally, the notion of constructive conflict (Echeverri and Skalen, 2011) and its impact on creativity and the ACR could be further explored.

Conclusion

Whilst the importance of the ACR has been recognised and discussed for decades, overall, considering its importance to effective marketing strategies, campaigns and communication, it could benefit from more attention. Marketing theory tends to discuss marketing communications, branding, and more recently, digital and social media strategies adopted by large and small firms, whilst managing to remain eerily silent on the role of marketing agencies in these endeavours. Thus, in general, there is

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

a need for further research into the ACR and the impact of both good and bad relationships on marketing outcomes and campaigns.

By presenting a systematic literature review of the extant knowledge base concerning the ACR, this article has clustered articles on this topic under five main themes: conflict, client account management, cultural and international perspectives, contracts and Agency Theory and co-creation. An agenda for further research has been proposed, including the adoption of social exchange theory, co-creation and marketing-as-practice as theoretical stances, accompanied by a greater emphasis on qualitative studies to promote understanding of ACR processes. In terms of themes, research should continue on relationship establishment and maintenance, with a particular focus on relationship lifecycles and their stages, multi-agency networks that have become more prevalent with the advent of digital marketing, and cultural and international perspectives. In addition, it is important to explore the extent to which the ACR and its associated processes vary by agency and client, type and size.

References

- Ardley, B. C. and Quinn, L. (2014), "Practitioner accounts and knowledge production: An analysis of three marketing discourses", *Marketing Theory*, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 97-118. doi: 10.1177/1470593113512322
- Armstrong, J. S. (1996), "How should firms select advertising agencies?", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 60, pp. 131-33. doi: 10.1.1.198.3680
- Arul, P. G. (2010), "An evaluation of client's expectation from their ad-agencies and ad-agencies expectation from their client's", *Asia-Pacific Journal of Management Research and Innovation*, Vol. 6 No. 3, pp. 146-154. doi: 10.1177/097324701000600414
- Beard, F. K. (1996a), "Integrated marketing communications: New role expectations and performance issues in the client-ad agency relationship", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 37 No. 3, pp. 207-215. doi: 10.1016/S0148-2963(96)00071-9
- Beard, F. K. (1996b). "Marketing client role ambiguity as a source of dissatisfaction in client-ad agency relationships", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 36 No. 5, pp. 9-20. Retrieved from: <http://www.jar.warc.com/>
- Beard, F. K. (1997), "IMC use and client-ad agency relationships", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, Vol. 3 No. 4, pp. 37-41. doi: 10.1080/135272697345907
- Beard, F. K. (1999). "Client role ambiguity and satisfaction in client-ad agency relationships: Small vs. large accounts", *Journal of Advertising Research*, 39 (2), 69-78. Retrieved from: <http://www.jar.warc.com/>
- Beltramini, P. R. and Pitta, P. D. A. (1991), "Underlying dimensions and communications strategies of the advertising agency-client relationship", *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 151-159. doi: 10.1080/02650487.1991.11104445
- Bennett, R. (1996), "Relationship formation and governance in consumer markets: transactional analysis versus the behaviourist approach", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 12 No. 5, pp. 417-436. doi: 10.1080/0267257X.1996.9964425
- Bergen, M., Dutta, S. and Walker, O. C. (1992), "Agency relationships in marketing: a review of the implications and applications of agency and related theories", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 56 No. 3, pp. 1-24. doi: 10.2307/1252293
- Beverland, M., Farrelly, F. and Woodhatch, Z. (2007), "Exploring the dimensions of proactivity within advertising agency—client relationships", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 36 No. 4, pp. 49-60. doi: 10.2753/JOA0091-3367360404

Beverland M., Farrelly F. and Woodhatch, Z. (2004), "The role of value change management in relationship dissolution: Hygiene and motivational factors", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 20 No. 9-10, pp. 927-939. doi: 10.1362/0267257042405295

Bourland, P. G. (1993), "The nature of conflict in firm-client relations: A content analysis of public relations journal 1980-89", *Public Relations Review*, Vol. 19 No. 4, pp. 385-398. doi: 10.1016/0363-8111(93)90059-L

Brennan, R. (2001), "Management of the market research client/agency relationship", In Woodside, A.G, (Ed.), *Getting better at sensemaking. Woodside, Arch G., ed. Advances in businessmarketing and purchasing. JAI Press, Stamford*, pp. 119-141. doi: 10.1108/13563280310506412

Broschak, J. P. and Block, E. S. (2014), "With or without you: When does managerial exit matter for the dissolution of dyadic market ties?", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 57 No. 3, pp. 743-765. doi: 10.5465/amj.2011.0169

Bruning, S. D. and Ledingham, J.A. (2002), "Identifying the communication, behaviors, and interaction patterns of agency-client relationships in development and decline", *Journal of Promotion Management*, Vol. 8 No. 2, pp. 21-34. doi: 10.1300/J057v08n02_03

Buttle, F. and Michell. P (1996), *"Relationship Marketing Theory and Practice"*, London: Sage. doi: 10.4135/9781446212462.n11

Calantone, R. and Druru, D. (1979), "Advertising agency compensation: A model for incentive and control", *Management Science*, Vol. 25 No. 7, pp. 632-643. doi: 0025-1909/79/2507/0632

Capon, N. and Scammon, D. (1979), "Advertising agency decisions: an analytic treatment", *Current Issues and Research in Advertising*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 35-52. doi: 10.1080/01633392.1979.10505223

Cardoso, P. R. (2007), "Market research and advertising strategy in Portuguese agencies: Perspectives of professionals", *Journal of Promotion Management*, Vol. 13 No. 3-4, pp. 305-319. doi: 10.1080/10496490802307028

Chakrabarty, S., Markham, S., Widing, R. and Brown, G. (1997), "Client perceptions of the customer orientation of advertising agencies", *Journal of Customer Service in Marketing and Management*, Vol. 3 No. 2, pp. 81-93. doi: 10.1300/J127v03n02_07

Chowdhury, I. N., Gruber, T. and Zolkiewski, J. (2015) "Every cloud has a silver lining - Exploring the dark side of value co-creation in B2B service networks",

Industrial Marketing Management, Vol. 55 pp. 97–109.
doi:10.1016/j.indmarman.2016.02.016

Cook, K. S., Cheshire, C., Rice, E. R., and Nakagawa, S. (2013), *Social exchange theory* (pp. 61-88). Springer. Netherlands.

Corvellec, H. and Hultman, J. (2014), “Managing the politics of value propositions”, *Marketing Theory*, Vol. 14 No. 4, pp. 355-375. doi:10.1177/1470593114523445.

Davies, M. A. and Palihawadana, D. (2006), “Developing a model of tolerance in client–agency relationships in advertising”, *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 25 No. 3, pp. 381-407. doi: 10.1080/02650487.2006.11072975

Davies, M. A. and Prince, M. (1999), “Examining the longevity of new agency accounts: A comparative study of US and UK advertising experiences”, *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 28 No. 4, 75-89. doi: 10.1080/00913367.1999.10673597

Davies, M. A. and Prince, M. (2005), “Dynamics of trust between clients and their advertising agencies: Advances in performance theory”, *Academy of Marketing Science Review*, Vol. 11 No. 1, 1-36. doi: 10.1080/10641734.2010.10505272

Davies, M. A. and Prince, M. (2010), “Advertising agency compensation, client evaluation and switching costs: An extension of Agency Theory”, *Journal of Current Issues and Research in Advertising*, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 13–31. doi: 10.1080/10641734.2010.10505272

Davies, M. A. and Prince, M. (2011), “Switching costs and ad agency-client relationship longevity: An exploratory study”, *Services Marketing Quarterly*, Vol. 32 No. 2, pp. 146–159. doi: 10.1080/15332969.2011.557609

Delener, N. (2008), “Beware of globalization: A comparative study of advertising agency-client relationships”, *Journal of Professional Services Marketing*, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 167–177. doi: 10.1300/J090v14n01_12

Devinney, T. M. and Dowling, G. R. (1999), “Getting the piper to play a better tune: Understanding and resolving advertiser-agency conflicts”, *Journal of Business-to-Business Marketing*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 19–58. doi: 10.1300/J033v06n01_02

Devinney, T., Dowling, G. R. and Collins, M. (2005). “Client and agency mental models in evaluating advertising”, *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 24 No. 1, pp. 35-50. doi: 10.1080/02650487.2005.11072903

Doyle, P., Jens, M. and Michell, P. (1980), “Signals of vulnerability in agency-client relations”, *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 44 No. 4, pp. 18–23. doi: 10.2307/1251225

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Dowling, G. R. (1994), "Searching for a new advertising agency: a client perspective", *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 13 No. 3, pp. 229-242. doi: 10.1080/02650487.1994.11104578

Duhan, D. F. and Sandvik, K. (2009), "Outcomes of advertiser–agency relationships: The form and the role of cooperation", *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 28 No. 5, pp. 881-919. doi: 10.2501/S0265048709200941

Durden, G., Orsman, T. and Michell, P. C. (1997) Commonalities in the reasons for switching advertising agencies: corroboratory evidence from New Zealand", *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp. 62-69 doi: 10.1080/02650487.1997.11104674

Durkin, M. and Lawlor, M. (2001), "The implications of the internet on the advertising agency-client relationship", *Service Industries Journal*, Vol. 21 No. 2, pp. 37–41. doi: 10.1080/714005026

Echeverri, P. and Skalen, P. (2011), "Co-creation and co-destruction: a practice-theory based study of interactive value formation", *Marketing Theory*, Vol. 11 No. 3, 351-373. doi: 10.1177/1470593111408181

Ellis, R. and Johnson, L. (1993), "Agency Theory as a framework for advertising agency compensation decisions", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 33 No. 5, pp. 76–80. Retrieved from: <http://www.jar.warc.com/>

Ewing, M. T., Pinto, T. M. and Soutar, G. N. (2001), "Agency-client chemistry: demographic and psychographic influences", *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 20 No. 2, pp. 169-187. doi: 10.1080/02650487.2001.11104885

Faisal, M. N. and Khan, B. M. (2008), "Selecting an advertising agency: A multi-criteria decision making approach", *Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective*, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 13–22. doi: 10.1177/097226290801200402

Fam, K. S. and Waller, D. (1999), "Factors in winning accounts: The views of New Zealand agency account directors", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 39 No. 3, pp. 21–32. Retrieved from: <http://www.jar.warc.com/>

Fam, K. S. and Waller, D. (2008), "Agency–client relationship factors across life-cycle stages", *Journal of Relationship Marketing*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 37–41. doi: 10.1080/15332660802279503

Farrelly, F., Quester, P. G., John, F. and Genevieve, P. (2003), "What drives renewal of sponsorship principal/agent relationships?", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 43 No. 4, pp. 353–360. doi: 10.1017/S0021849903030460

1
2
3 Franke, G. R., Murphy, J. H. and Nadler, S. S. (2003), "Appraising account executive
4 performance appraisals: current practices and managerial implications", *Journal of*
5 *Current Issues and Research in Advertising*, Vol. 25 No. 1, pp. 1–11. doi:
6 10.1080/10641734.2003.10505137
7

8
9 Gambetti, R., Biraghi, S., Schultz, D. E. and Graffigna, G. (2016), "Brand wars:
10 consumer–brand engagement beyond client–agency fights", *Journal of Strategic*
11 *Marketing*, Vol. 24 No. 2, pp. 90–103. doi: 10.1080/0965254X.2015.1011199
12

13
14 Ghosh, B. C. and Taylor, D. (1999), "Switching advertising agency—a cross-country
15 analysis", *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, Vol. 17 No. 3, pp. 140–148. doi:
16 10.1108/02634509910271597
17

18
19 Gould, S. J., Grein, A. and Lerman, D. (1999), "The role of agency-client integration
20 in integrated marketing communications: a complementary agency theory-
21 interorganisational perspective", *Journal of Current Issues and Research in*
22 *Advertising*, Vol. 21 No. 1, pp. 1–12 doi: 10.1080/10641734.1999.10505085
23

24
25 Grant, I., McLeod, C. and Shaw, E. (2012), "Conflict and advertising planning:
26 consequences of networking for advertising planning", *European Journal of*
27 *Marketing*, Vol. 46 No. 1/2, pp. 73–91. doi: 10.1108/03090561211189248
28

29
30 Grant, I. C., Gilmore, C. and Crosier, K. (2003), "Account planning: whose role is it
31 anyway?", *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, Vol. 21 No. 7, pp. 462–472. doi:
32 10.1108/02634500310504313
33

34
35 Gray, V. and Fam, K. (2002), "Client-agency relationships", *Journal of Promotion*
36 *Management*, Vol. 7 No. 1–2, pp. 37–41. doi: 10.1300/J057v07n01
37

38
39 Haddadi, H. (2010), "Fighting online click-fraud using bluff ads", *ACM SIGCOMM*
40 *Computer Communication Review*, Vol. 40 No. 2, pp. 21–25. doi:
41 10.1145/1764873.1764877
42

43
44 Halinen, A. (1997), *Relationship marketing in professional services: a study of*
45 *agency-client dynamics in the advertising sector*, (Vol. 3), Routledge. London.
46

47
48 Harvey, M. G. and Rupert, J. P. (1988), "Selecting an industrial advertising agency",
49 *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 17 No. 2, pp. 119–127. doi: 10.1016/0019-
50 8501(88)90014-4
51

52
53 Haytko, D. (2004), "Firm-to-firm and interpersonal relationships: Perspectives from
54 advertising agency account managers", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*,
55 Vol. 32 No. 3, pp. 312–328. doi: 10.1177/0092070304264989
56
57
58
59
60

Henke, L. (1995), "A longitudinal analysis of the ad agency-client relationship: Predictors of an agency switch", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 35 No. 2, pp. 24–30. doi: 10.1080/00913367.2001.10673638

Heo, J. and Sutherland, J. C. (2015), "Why Marketers Should Be More Transparent with the Ad Agencies They Hire", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 55 No. 4, pp. 380–389. doi: 10.2501/JAR-2015-021

Hill, R. M. (2006), "Advertiser satisfaction with advertising agency creative product", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 40 No. 11/12, pp. 1254–1270. doi: 10.1108/03090560610702803

Hotz, M., Jr, J. R. and Shanklin, W. (1982), "Agency/client relationships as seen by influential on both sides", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 11 No. 1, pp. 37–44. doi: 10.1080/00913367.1982.10672793

Hozier, G. C. and Schatzberg, J. D. (2000), "Advertising agency terminations and reviews", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 50 No. 2, pp. 169–176. doi: 10.1016/S0148-2963(99)00029-6

IBIS World (2014), "*Advertising Agencies in the UK: Market Research Report*" available at: <http://www.ibisworld.co.uk/market-research/advertising-agencies.html>, [Accessed on 1st March 2016]

Järventie-Thesleff, R., Moisander, J. and Laine, P.-M. (2011), "Organisational dynamics and complexities of corporate brand building—A practice perspective", *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 196–204. doi: 10.1016/j.scaman.2010.07.001

Johnson, J. and Lacznia, R. (1991), "Antecedents of dissatisfaction in advertiser-agency relationships: A model of decision-making and communication patterns", *Current Issues and Research in Advertising*, Vol. 13 No. 1-2, pp. 45–59. doi: 10.1080/01633392.1991.10504958

Karantinou, K. M. and Hogg, M. K. (2009), "An empirical investigation of relationship development in professional business services", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp. 249–260. doi: 10.1108/08876040910965584

Kaynak, E., Kucukemiroglu, O. and Odabasi, Y. (1994), "Advertising agency/client relationships in an advanced developing country", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. 35–55. doi: 10.1108/03090569410049145

Kelly, A., Lawlor, K. and O'Donohoe, S. (2005), "Encoding advertisements: The creative perspective", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 21 No. 5-6, pp. 505–528. doi: 10.1362/0267257054307390

Kitchen, P. J., Graham Spickett-Jones, J. and Grimes, T. (2007), "Inhibition of brand integration amid changing agency structures", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, Vol. 13 No. 2, 149-168. doi: 10.1080/13527260601009803

Koch, H. L. and Liechty, C. (2005), "Reducing disconnects in the design agency-client relationship", *Journal of Promotion Management*, Vol. 11 No. 1, pp. 51-70. doi: 10.1300/J057v11n01

Komulainen, H. (2014), "The role of learning in value co-creation in new technological B2B services", *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing*, Vol. 29 No. 3, pp. 238-252. doi: 10.1108/JBIM-04-2011-0042

Komulainen, H., Mainela, T. and Tähtinen, J. (2013), "Customer's potential value: The role of learning", *Journal of Business Market Management*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 1-21. Retrieved from: <http://hdl.handle.net/10419/76797>

Komulainen, H., Mainela, T. and Tähtinen, J. (2016), "Intermediary roles in local mobile advertising: Findings from a Finnish study", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, Vol. 22 No. 2, pp. 155-169. doi: 10.1080/13527266.2013.833540

Kulkarni, M. S., Vora, P. P. and Brown, T. A. (2003), "Firing advertising agencies - Possible reasons and managerial implications", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 32 No. 3, pp. 77-86. doi: 10.1080/00913367.2003.10639138

Laamanen, M. and Skalen, P. (2014), "Collective-conflictual value co-creation: a strategic action field approach", *Marketing Theory*, Vol 15. No. 3, pp. 1-20. Doi: 10.1177/1470593114564905.

LaBahn, D. W. and Kohli, C. (1997), "Maintaining client commitment in advertising agency-client relationships", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 26 No. 6, pp. 497-508. doi: 10.1016/S0019-8501(97)00025-4

Lace, J. M. (2004), "At the crossroads of marketing communications and the Internet: experiences of UK advertisers", *Internet Research*, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 236-244. doi: 10.1108/01409179810781554

Lian, P. C. S. and Laing, A. W. (2007), "Relationships in the purchasing of business to business professional services: The role of personal relationships", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 36 No. 6, pp. 709-718. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2006.05.004

Lichtenthal, J. and Shani, D. (2000), "Fostering client-agency relationships: a business buying behavior perspective", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 49 No. 3, pp. 213-228. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2006.05.004

Masiello, B., Marasco, A. and Izzo, F. (2013), "Co-creation in creative services: the role of client in advertising agencies' innovation", *Mercati e competitività*. Vol. 2013 No. 2, pp. 131-155. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2012440

Mathur, L. K. and Mathur, I. (1996), "Is value associated with initiating new advertising agency-client relations?", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 25 No. 3, pp. 1-12. doi: 10.1080/00913367.1996.10673503

Michell, P. C. (1988), "Where advertising decisions are really made", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 22 No. 7, pp. 5-18. doi: 10.1108/EUM0000000005289

Michell, P. C. (1986), "Auditing of agency-client relations", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 26 No. 6, pp.29-41. Retrieved from: <http://www.jar.warc.com/>

Michell, P. C. (1987), "Auditing of agency-client relations", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 26 No. 6, pp. 29-41. Retrieved from: <http://www.jar.warc.com/>

Michell, P. C. and Sanders, N. H. (1995), "Loyalty in agency-client relations: The impact of the organizational context", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 35 No. 2, pp. 9-23. Retrieved from: <http://www.jar.warc.com/>

Michell, P. C., Cataquet, H. and Hague, S. (1992), "Establishing the causes of disaffection in agency-client relations", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 32 No. 2, pp. 41-48. Retrieved from: <http://www.jar.warc.com/>

Michell, P. C., Cataquet, H. and Mandry, G. D. (1996), "Advertising agency creative reputation and account loyalty", *Creativity and Innovation Management*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 38-47. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8691.1996.tb00239.x

Moon, Y. S. and Franke, G. R. (2000), "Cultural influences on agency practitioners' ethical perceptions: A comparison of Korea and the U.S.", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 51-65. doi: 10.1080/00913367.2000.10673603

Morais, R. J. (2007), "Conflict and confluence in advertising meetings", *Human Organisation*, Vol. 66 No. 2, pp. 150-159. doi: 0018-7259/07/010150-10\$1.50/1

Morgan, R. M. and Hunt, S. D. (1994), "The Commitment Trust-Theory of relationship marketing", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 1 No. 58 pp. 20-38. doi: 10.2307/1252308

Morrison, M. and Haley, E. (2003), "Account planners' views on how their work is and should be evaluated", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 1 No. 32 pp. 7-16. doi: 10.1080/00913367.2003.10639132

Murphy, P. (1994), "Comparing the decision structures of public relations agencies and clients", *Journal of Public Relations Research*, Vol. 6 No. 4, pp. 209–228. doi: 10.1207/s1532754xjpr0604_01

Murphy, P. and Maynard, M. (1997), "Using decision profiles to analyse advertising agency and client conflict", *Journal of Communication Management*, Vol. 1 No. 3, pp. 231–246. doi: 10.1108/eb023426

Murphy, P. and Maynard, M. L. (1996), "Using judgment profiles to compare advertising agencies' and clients' campaign values", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp. 91. Retrieved from: <http://www.jar.warc.com/>

Murray, J. A. (1971), "Irish advertising agencies as seen by their clients", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 5 No. 3, pp. 123–136. doi: 10.1108/EUM0000000005166

Na, W., Marshall, R. and Woodside, A. G. (2009), "Decision system analysis of advertising agency decisions", *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, Vol. 12 No. 2, pp. 153–170. doi: 10.1108/13522750910948761

Nenonen, S. and Storbacka, K. (2010), "Business model design: conceptualizing networked value co-creation", *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 43–59. doi: 10.1108/17566691011026595

Novani, S. (2012), "Value co-creation by customer-to-customer communication: social media and face-to-face for case of airline service selection", *Journal of Service Science and Management*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 101–109. doi: 10.4236/jssm.2012.51013

Oh, C. and Kim, S.K. (2002), "Possession and effects of power in advertising agency-client relationships in South Korea: A multi-level analysis", in Taylor, C.R. (Ed.), *New Directions in International Advertising Research (Advances in International Marketing)*, Vol. 12, pp.217 - 241 doi: 10.1108/02651330310505259

Ojasalo, J. (2001), "Key account management at company and individual levels in business-to-business relationships", *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing*, Vol. 1 No. 16, pp. 199–220. doi: 10.1108/08858620110389803

Palihawadana, D., and Barnes, B. R. (2005), "Investigating agency-client relationships in the Polish advertising industry", *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 24 No. 4, pp. 491–508. doi: 10.1080/02650487.2005.11072940.

Piller, F., Vossen, A. and Ihl, C. (2012), "From social media to social product development: The impact of social media on co-creation of innovation", *Die Unternehmung*, Vol. 65 No. 1, pp. 7–27. doi: 10.5771/0042-059X-2012-1-7

Pincus, J. D., Acharya, L. and Trotter, E. P. (1991), "Conflict between public relations agencies and their clients: A Game Theory analysis", *Public Relations Research Annual*, Vol. 3 No. 1-4, pp. 151–163. doi: 10.1207/s1532754xjpr0301-4_7

Pollay, R. and Swinth, R. (1969), "A behavioral simulation of the agency-client relationship", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 198–202. doi: 10.2307/3149672

Prahalad, C. K. and Ramaswamy, V. (2004). "Co-creation experiences: The next practice in value creation", *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 18 No. 3, pp. 5-14. doi: 10.1002/dir.20015

Prendergast, G. and Shi, Y-Z. (1999), "Exploring advertising client-advertising agency relationships in China", *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 21–38. doi: 10.1300/J046v12n01_03

Prendergast, G. and Shi, Y-Z. (2001), "Client perceptions of advertising and advertising agencies: a China study", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 47–63. doi: 10.1080/13527260122863

Prendergast, G., Shi, Y. and West, D. C. (2001), "Organisational buying and advertising agency-client relationships in China", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 30 No. 2, pp. 61-71. doi: 10.1080/00913367.2001.10673638

Prince, M. and Everett, R. (2012), "Switching costs: A key to understanding and managing business consulting relationship longevity", *In Advances in Business Marketing and Purchasing. Business-to-Business Marketing Management: Strategies, Cases and Solutions: Strategies, Cases, and Solutions*, Vol. 18, pp. 193. doi: 10.1108/S1069-0964%282012%290000018013

Rogan, M. (2014), "Executive departures without client losses: The role of multiplex ties in exchange partner retention", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 57 No. 2, pp. 563-584. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2384458

Sasser, S. L. and Koslow, S. (2008), "Desperately seeking advertising creativity: Engaging an imaginative '3Ps' research agenda", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 37 No. 4, pp. 5–20. doi: 10.2753/JOA0091-3367370401

Sasser, S. L. and Koslow, S. (2012), "Passion, expertise, politics, and support", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 41 No. 3, pp. 5-18. doi: 10.2753/joa0091-3367410301

Sasser, S., Koslow, S. and Kilgour, M. (2011), "Assessing the quality of self-reported measures and the reliability of empirical findings: Exploring creativity differences across worldwide agency creatives and managers", in Okazaki, S. (Ed.), *Advances in Advertising Research*, Vol. 2, doi: 10.1007/978-3-8349-6854-8_23

Sekeley, W. and Blakney, V. (1996), "The small agency/client relationship: The small client's perspective", *Mid-American Journal of Business*, Vol. 11 No. 1, pp. 23–30. doi: 10.1108/19355181199600002

Skålén, P. and Hackley, C. (2011), "Marketing-as-practice. Introduction to the special issue", *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 189–195. doi: 10.1016/j.scaman.2011.03.004

So, S. L. M. (2005), "What matters most in advertising agency performance to clients: Implications and issues on their relationship in Hong Kong", *Journal of Current Issues and Research in Advertising*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 83–98. doi: 10.1080/10641734.2005.10505183

Spake, D. F., D'souza, G., Crutchfield, T. N. and Morgan, R. M. (1999), "Advertising agency compensation : An Agency Theory explanation", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 28 No. 3, pp. 53–72. doi: 10.1080/00913367.1999.10673589

Sutherland, J., Duke, L. and Abernethy, A. M. (2004), "A model of marketing information flow", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 33 No. 4, pp. 39–52. doi: 10.1080/00913367.2004.10639173

Svensson, P. (2007), "Producing marketing: towards a social-phenomenology of marketing work", *Marketing Theory*, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 271–290. doi: 10.1177/1470593107080346

Tadajewski, M. (2010), "Critical marketing studies: logical empiricism, 'critical performativity' and marketing practice", *Marketing Theory*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 210–222. doi: 10.1177/1470593110366671

Tahtinen, J. and Halinen, A. (2002), "Research on ending exchange relationships: A categorization, assessment and outlook", *Marketing Theory*, Vol. 2 No. 2, pp. 165–188. doi: 10.1177/147059310222002

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., and Smart, P. (2003), "Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review", *British Journal of Management*, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 207–222. doi: 10.1111/1467-8551.00375

Triki, A., Redjeb, N. and Kamoun, I. (2007), "Exploring the determinants of success/failure of the advertising agency-firm relationship", *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, Vol. 10 No. 1, pp. 10–27. doi: 10.1108/13522750710720378

Turnbull, S. and Wheeler, C. (2014), "Exploring advertiser's expectations of advertising agency services", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, pp. 1-15. doi: 10.1080/13527266.2014.920902

Vafeas, M., and Hilton, T. (2002), "Client defection in the design industry: a study of the causes, process and context of switching agencies", *The Design Journal*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 14-25. doi: 10.2752/146069202789378431

Vallaster, C. and Lindgreen, A. (2011), "Corporate brand strategy formation: Brand actors and the situational context for a business-to-business brand", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 40 No. 7, pp. 1133-1143. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2011.09.008

Vargo, S. L. and Lusch, R. F. (2011), "It's all B2B... and beyond: Toward a systems perspective of the market", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 40 No. 2, pp. 181-187. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2010.06.026

Vargo, S. L. and Lusch, R. F. (2008), "Service-dominant logic: continuing the evolution", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp. 1-10. doi: 10.1007%2Fs11747-007-0069-6

Verbeke, W. (1989), "Developing an advertising agency-client relationship in The Netherlands", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Retrieved from: <http://www.jar.warc.com/>

de Waal Malefyt, T. and Morais, R. J. (2010), "Creativity, brands, and the ritual process: Confrontation and resolution in advertising agencies", *Culture and Organisation*, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp. 333-347. doi: 10.1080/14759551.2010.519927

Wackman, D., Salmon, C. and Salmon, C. (1986), "Developing an advertising agency-client relationship", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 26 No. 6, pp. 21-28. Retrieved from: <http://www.jar.warc.com/>

Waller, D. (2002), "Advertising agency-client attitudes towards ethical issues in political advertising", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 36 No. 4, pp. 347-354. doi: 10.1023%2FA%3A1014456012599

Waller, D. (2004), "Developing an account-management lifecycle for advertising agency-client relationships", *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, Vol. 22 No. 1, pp. 95-112. doi: 10.1108/02634500410516940

Waller, D., Shao, A. T. and Bao, Y. (2010), "Client influence and advertising standardization: a survey of ad agencies", *The Service Industries Journal*. Routledge, Vol. 30 No. 13, pp. 2151-2161. doi: 10.1080/02642060903215048

WARC. (2015), "Adspend Database", December 2015. Available at www.warc.com.

Webster, J. and Watson, R.T. (2002), "Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: Writing a literature review" *MIS quarterly*, Vol. 26 No. 2, pp. 13-23

West, D. C. and Paliwoda, S. J. (1996), "Advertising client-agency relationships: The decision-making structure of clients", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 30 No. 8, pp. 22–39. doi: 10.1108/03090569610130089

Wilson, R. (1968), "The theory of syndicates", *Econometrica: journal of the Econometric Society*, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp. 119–132. doi: 10.2307/1909607

Yuksel, U. (2011), "From selection to termination: An investigation of advertising agency/client relationships", *Journal of Business and Economic Research*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 31–40. Retrieved from: http://www.researchgate.net/publication/267565137_From_Selection_To_Termination_An_Investigation_Of_Advertising_AgencyClient_Relationships

Zhao, H. (2005) Incentive-based compensation to advertising agencies: A principal–agent approach", *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 255–275. doi: 10.1016/j.ijresmar.2004.10.002

Zolkiewski, J., Burton, J. and Stratoudaki, S. (2008), "The delicate power balance in advertising agency-client relationships: partnership or battleground? The case of the Greek advertising market", *Journal of Customer Behaviour*, Vol. 7 No. 4, pp. 315–332. doi: 10.1362/147539208X386851

<Insert Appendix 1 Here >

Table 1: Concept Matrix for Agency-Client Relationship Literature

Theme	Definition	Key research question	Assumption
Conflict (CON)	Conflict within ACR's and related processes of termination and/or switching of agencies	How can conflict be avoided, minimized or managed creatively?	There is conflict within the ACR
Client Account Management (CAM)	The characteristics and process of the management of client accounts.	How can agencies manage their relationships with their clients?	Agencies benefit from long-term relationships with their clients
Cultural and International Perspectives (CUL)	Managing the ACR in different countries and cultures.	Do cultural factors influence the optimum management of the ACR?	Cultural differences affect the ACR.
Contracts and Agency Theory (CAT)	The establishment of contractual arrangements, coupled with the use of the lens of Agency Theory.	What are the consequences of the contractual aspect of the ACR?	The ACR involves a contractual relationship.
Co-Creation (CCR)	Agencies and clients working collaboratively on campaign planning	How can agencies and clients work together to their mutual benefit?	A collaborative ACR benefits both parties

Table 2 Prevalent Journals in Dataset

Publications	Total
Journal of Advertising	18
European Journal of Marketing	7
Journal of Current Issues & Research in Advertising	6
Journal of Advertising Research	6
Journal of Promotion Management	5
Journal of Marketing Management	4
Journal of Marketing	3
Industrial Marketing Management	3
Journal of Business Research	3
Journal of Marketing Communications	3
Marketing Intelligence & Planning	3

Table 3: Breakdown of Agency-Client Relationship Articles by Decade per Theme

Theme	1950-1979	1980-1989	1990-1999	2000-2009	2010-2015	Total Articles
CON	2	3	17	14	7	43
CAM	2	4	7	15	2	30
CUL	0	1	4	8	1	14
CAT	0	0	7	3	2	13
CCR	0	1	0	7	6	13
Total	4	9	35	47	14	114

Table 4: Conflict and Switching Factors

Conflict Factors	
Agency Performance	Beard, 1997; Bourland, 1994; Davies and Prince, 2011; Devinney and Dowling, 1999; Henke, 1995; Hozier and Schatzberg, 2000; Murphy and Maynard, 1996; 1997; Pincus, Acharya and Trotter, 1991; Yuksel and Sutton-Brady, 2011.
Policy Changes	Doyle <i>et al</i> , 1980; Pollay and Swinth, 1969; Tahtinen and Halinen, 2002.
Communication	Ewing, Pinto, and Soutar, 2001; Hotz <i>et al</i> , 1982; So, 2005; Triki, Redjeb and Kamoun, 2007.
Lack of Mutual Understanding	Arul, 2010; Devinney and Dowling, 1999; Hill, 2006; Murphy and Maynard, 1996; 1997.
Decision-making Structures/Approaches	Grant <i>et al</i> , 2012; Johnson and Laczniak, 1991; Morais, 2007; Murphy and Maynard, 1996; 1997.
Personnel Changes	Hotz <i>et al</i> , 1982; West and Paliwoda, 1996.
Role Definition	Grant <i>et al</i> , 2012; Hill, 2006.
Attitudes Towards Risk	Zolkiewski <i>et al</i> , 2008.
Trust/Distrust	Bourland, 1994; Davies and Prince, 2005; Pollay and Swinth, 1969
Creativity	Arul, 2010; Michell, Cataquet, and Hague 1992; de Waal, Malefyt and Morais, 2010

Table 5: Overcoming Agency-Client Relationship Conflict

Identification of Conflict	Vigilance (Doyle <i>et al</i> , 1980) Regular review sessions (Hotz <i>et al</i> , 1982) Tactical adaptation to change (Zolkiewski <i>et al</i> , 2008)
Communication	Integrated, increased or improved two-way communication (Beard, 1997) Collaboration in campaign planning (Johnson and Laczniak, 1991) Transparency in communication with clients (Heo and Sutherland, 2015)
Role clarity	Performance review and audits (Johnson and Laczniak, 1991) Developing mutual understanding of roles and rules for engagement (Devinney and Dowling, 1999) Accepting conflict as a basis for a productive relationship (Yuksel and Sutton-Brady, 2011)
Agency-Specific Actions	Value longevity (Hotz <i>et al</i> , 1982; Murphy and Maynard, 1997) Decentralisation and direct communication (Doyle <i>et al</i> , 1980; West and Paliwoda, 1996) Clarity and communications of creative capabilities (Davies and Prince, 2011; Henke, 1995; Murray, 1971; Pincus <i>et al</i> , 1991)
Client-Specific Actions	Review decision making effectiveness (Hotz <i>et al</i> , 1982) Provoke competition in agency networks (Grant <i>et al</i> , 2012)

Appendix 1 Agency-Client Relationship Dataset- Research Strategies, Theory, Method and Population

Themes	Articles	Theory	Strategy	Methods	Population
Conflict (CON)	Pollay and Swinth (1969)		Survey	Behavioural Simulation	Agency (9)
	Murray (1971)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (164)
	Doyle, Jens and Michell (1980)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (210)
	Hotz, Ryans, and Shanklin (1982)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (68)
	Michell (1987).		Survey	Questionnaire	Client (100)
	Pincus, Acharya and Trotter (1991)	GT	Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Michell, Cataquet and Hague (1992)		Survey	Questionnaire	Client (200)
	Johnson and Lacznia (1991)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Dowling (1994)		Survey	Questionnaire	Client (157)
	Murphy (1994)		Interviews	Interviews	Agency (10)
	Bourland (1994)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Henke (1995)		Interviews	Interviews	Agency (151)
	Beard (1996b)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Murphy and Maynard (1996)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (57) Client (63)
	Michell, Cataquet and Mandry (1996)		Survey	Questionnaire	Client (1,145)
	West and Paliwoda (1996)	OBB	Survey	Questionnaire	Client (145)
	Mathur and Mathur (1996)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Murphy and Maynard (1997)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (57) Client (63)
	Durden, Orsman and Michell (1997)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Devinney and Dowling (1999)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Ghosh and Taylor (1999)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (66)
	Hozier and Schatzberg (2000)		Survey	Event Study	Agency (30)
	Ewing, Pinto and Soutar (2001)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Waller (2002)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (101) Client (46)
	Bruning and Ledingham (2002)	RM	Case Study	Interviews	Agency (25)
	Tahtinen and Halinen (2002)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Vafeas and Hilton (2002)	RM	Interviews	Interviews	Client (11)
	Kulkarni, Vora and Brown (2003)		Conceptual	Event Study	N/A
	Devinney, Dowling and Collins (2005)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (157)
	So (2005)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (84)
	Hill (2006)		Mixed Methods	Interviews, Questionnaire	Agency (18) Client (204)
	Triki, Redjeb and Kamoun (2007)		Interviews	Interviews	Agency (12) Client (12)
	Morais (2007)		Conceptual	Ethnographic	N/A
	Zolkiewski et al (2008)		Interviews	Interviews	Agency (18)
	Murphy and Maynard (2009)		Case Study	Interviews	Agency (22) Client (22)
	Beard (1997)		Survey	Questionnaire	Client (300)

	Davies and Prince (2011)	AT, PT	Survey	Questionnaire	Client (108)
	Arul (2010)		Case Study	Interviews	Agency (25) Client (50)
	Yuksel and Sutton-Brady (2011)	SET, NT	Mixed Methods	Questionnaire, Interview	Agency (49) Client (12)
	Prince and Everett (2012)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Grant, McLeod and Shaw (2012)	AT, NT	Interviews	Interviews	Agency (22)
	Broschak and Block (2013)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Heo and Sutherland (2015)	SET	Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (89)
Client Account Management (CAM)	Capon and Scammon (1979)		Case Study	Interviews	Agency (1) Client (1)
	Calantone and Drury (1979)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Wackman, Salmon and Salmon (1986)		Survey	Questionnaire	Client (182)
	Michell (1986)		Interviews	Interviews	Client (128)
	Michell (1988)		Interviews	Interviews	Agency (15)
	Harvey and Rupert (1988)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Beltramini and Pitta (1991)	RM	Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Morgan and Hunt (1994)	TT	Survey	Questionnaire	Client (204)
	Michell (1995)		Survey	Questionnaire	Client (29)
	Sekely and Blakney (1996)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (197)
	Beard (1996a)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	LaBahn and West (1997)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (194)
	Halinen (1997)		Case Study	Interviews	Agency (1) Client (1)
	Lichtenthal and Shanib (2000)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (39)
	Brennan (2001)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Ojasalo (2001)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Franke, Murphy and Nadler (2003)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (41)
	Morrison and Haley (2003)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (345)
	Waller (2004)	AT, OBB	Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Haytko (2004)		Case Study	Interviews	Agency (20)
	Palihawadana and Barnes (2005)		Mixed Methods	Questionnaire, Interviews	Agency (4) Client (52)
	Koch and Liechty (2006)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (77)
	Beverland, Farrelly and Woodhatch (2007)		Case Study	Interviews	Agency (10)
	Lian and Laing (2007)		Case Study	Interviews	Client (16)
	Faisal and Khan (2008)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Fam and Waller (2008)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (82)
	Na and Marshall (2009)		Case Study	Interviews	Agency (2)
	Karantinou and Hogg (2009)	RM	Case Study	Interviews	Agency (3) Client (6)
	Rogan (2014)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Turnbull and Wheeler (2014)		Interviews	Interviews	Agency (7)
Cultural And International (CUL)	Verbeke (1989)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (121)
	Kaynak, Kucukemiroglu and Odabasi (1994)		Survey	Questionnaire	Client (101)
	Prendergast and Shi (1999)		Survey	Questionnaire	Client (200)
	Davies and Prince (1999)	PT	Survey	Questionnaire	Client (558)

	Moon and Franke (2000)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (214)
	Prendergast and Shi (2001)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (200)
	Prendergast, Shi and West (2001)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (200)
	Gray and Fam (2002)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (147)
	Oh and Kim (2002)		Survey	Factor analysis	N/A
	Beverland, Farrelly and Woodhatch (2004)		Case Study	Interviews	Agency (17)
	Cardoso (2007)		Interviews	Interviews	Agency (25)
	Fam and Waller (2008)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (102)
	Delener (2008)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Waller, Shao and Bao (2010)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (147)
Contract Agency Theory (CAT)	Wilson (1968)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Ellis and Johnson (1993)	AT	Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Bergen, Dutta and Waller (1992)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Armstrong (1996)		Case Study	Interviews	Agency (1) Client (1)
	Bennett (1999)		Survey	Questionnaire	Client (344)
	Chakrabarty, Markham, Widing and Brown (1997)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (117)
	Gould, Grein and Lerman (1999)	AT	Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Spake, D'souza, Crutchfield and Morgan (1999)	AT	Survey	Questionnaire	Client (349)
	Farrelly and Quester (2003)	AT	Survey	Questionnaire	Client (96)
	Zhao (2005)	AT	Survey	Factor analysis	N/A
	Davies and Prince (2005)	PT	Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Arul (2010)		Case Study	Interviews	Agency (25) Client (50)
	Davies and Prince (2010)	AT	Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (179) Client (108)
Co-Creation (CCR)	Michell (1988)		Survey	Behavioural Testing	Agency (26)
	Durkin and Lawlor (2001)		Interviews	Interviews	Agency (12)
	Grant, Gilmore and Crosier (2003)		Case Study	Interviews	Agency (31) Client (19)
	Sutherland, Duke and Abernethy (2004)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (583)
	Lace (2004)		Survey	Questionnaire	Agency (86)
	Kelly, Lawlor and O'Donohoe (2005)		Interviews	Interviews	Agency (1)
	Sasser and Koslow (2008)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Duhan and Sandvik (2009)		Survey	Model Testing	N/A
	de Waal, Malefyt and Morais (2010)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Sasser, Koslow and Kilgour (2011)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Sasser, Koslow and Kilgour (2012)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Marasco, Masiello and Izzo (2013)		Conceptual	N/A	N/A
	Gambetti, Biraghi, Schultz and Graffina (2016)		Interviews	Interviews	Agency (12) Client (9)
	Komulainen (2016)		Interviews	Interviews	Agency (8)

Key: AT = Agency Theory; GT = Game Theory; NT = Network Theory; OB = Organisational Buying Behaviour; PT = Performance Theory; PST = Practice Social Theory; RM = Relationship Management Theory; SET = Social Exchange Theory; TT = Trust Theory